

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/517,954	06/17/2005	Roman Cetnar	19339-099979	3766	
Robin W Ash	7590 09/10/201	0	EXAM	INER	
Clark Hill			THROWER, LARRY W		
500 Woodwar Suite 3500	d Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Detroit, MI 48	226-3435		1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,954	CETNAR, ROMAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LARRY THROWER	1791	

The MAILING DATE of this on

<i>i ne i</i> Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ly
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If NO period fo - Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, RIS LONGER, FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. It is may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1136(a). In no event, however, may a ropy be timely field OMTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is not the provision of 37 CPR 1136(a). In no event, however, may a ropy be timely field OMTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is not the provision of 37 CPR 1136(a). It is not the provision of 18 CPR 1136(a). It is not the provision of 18 CPR 1136(a). It is not the provision of 18 CPR 1136(a). It is not the provision of become add MONCHE (18 SLS, 5 SL3). It is not five mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any
Status	
2a)⊠ This a 3)⊡ Since	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 August 2010</u> .  ction is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is if in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of	
4)⊠ Claim 4a) Of 5)□ Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)□ Claim	(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the application. the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. (s) is/are allowed. (s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Pa	pers
10) ☐ The dr Applica Replac	secification is objected to by the Examiner.  awing(s) filed onis/are: a) excepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  aamy not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  between trawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) the or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Acknor a) All 1. 2. 3.	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  b) \[ \] Some * c) \[ \] None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of Ref	erences Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

1)	ш	Notice	O

'/ L	Notice of References Cited (F10-692)
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3)	Jefseyntian Pipelagues Statementie) (ETP/SR/PP)

Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
e\ \	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Applic
	Other:

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20100908

Application/Control Number: 10/517,954 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Amendment

 The response filed August 31, 2010 has been entered. Claims 1-2 are canceled; claims 3-4 are under examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleefeldt (US 5,505,506) in view of Schneegans (EP 940241; references are to corresponding Derwent Abstract 1999-520156).
- Regarding claim 3, Kleefeldt discloses a method for fabricating a supporting assembly for a lock and actuating assembly of a motor vehicle (abstract), the actuating assembly having a plurality of actuating members (13, 16) hinged to an actuating pin (12, 15) for operating the lock (col. 3, lines 7-20), and the supporting assembly comprising a shell (5) made of plastic material (col. 2, lines 46-48) defining a housing for a first metal plate (2). The method includes placing the first metal plate (14) into a mold die (col. 2, lines 58-64; col. 3, lines 14-20), inserting the actuating pin (12) into the mold die which is separate and spaced from the first metal plate (fig. 1: col. 3, lines 14-20), and molding the shell to the first metal plate in the mold die.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,954 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

and around the actuating pin to englobe the actuating pin in the shell (col. 2, lines 61-63).

- Kleefeldt fails to disclose forming a seal gasket around the peripheral edge of the shell in the mold die. However, Schneegans discloses a method for fabricating a supporting assembly for a lock of a motor vehicle in which a seal gasket on an edge of the base member made of plastic material is co-molded in the same molding station in which co-molding the shell on the metal element is performed (abstract). As taught by Schneegans, co-molding the seal gasket on the edge of the shell improves the bond between the seal and shell "...to give more reliable sealing of the door lock and hence more reliable operation" (abstract). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the method for fabricating a lock supporting assembly of Kleefeldt with the seal of Schneegans to provide more reliable sealing and operation of the door lock, as taught by Schneegans.
- Regarding claim 4, Kleefeldt discloses removing the first metal plate and co-molded shell from the mold die, and placing a second metal plate against the gasket close the housing by the shell between the first and second metal plates (col. 1, lines 38-50).

# Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed August 31, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Application/Control Number: 10/517,954

Art Unit: 1791

• Applicant argues that Kleefeldt fails to disclose "the steps of 'placing the first metal plate into a mold die' and 'inserting the actuating pin into the mold die <u>separate and spaced from</u> the first metal plate'" because the pivot 12 of Kleefeldt is formed by bending a portion of plate 2 upwardly and is therefore attached to plate 2. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive. Kleefeldt discloses two metal plates (2, 14) with pivot 12 being reinforced by a piece of steel that extends through its center and that is unitary at one end with plate 2 and is separate and spaced apart from first metal plate 14 (col. 3, lines 14-19), which is no less than is required by the instant claims.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,954

Art Unit: 1791

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LARRY THROWER whose telephone number is 571-270-5517. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30AM-6PM est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Larry Thrower/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791

/Christina Johnson/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791